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Summary

An approach for computing the B-field from time-domain dB/dt data measured by an induction coil has been developed. When the dB/dt samples do not cover the whole waveform, the constant of integration can be readily determined from the scheme without any assumptions. Theoretical modeling and tests on airborne time-domain electromagnetic data show that the B-field response integrated from the dB/dt data considerably enhances responses to good conductors and suppresses responses of overburden, making identification and interpretation of good conductors easier. This process can be accomplished either in an EM receiver in real time or from dB/dt data that were already acquired.

Introduction

Time-domain electromagnetic (EM) systems have been increasingly used in mineral exploration. The targets of interest are generally good conductors with slow decays with time. Currently, most time-domain EM systems use an induction coil to measure the time derivatives (dB/dt) of the secondary magnetic fields (B-field). The responses to good conductors will come from slowly decaying, low-amplitude magnetic fields and those to overburden from rapidly decaying, high amplitude fields. The B-field has significantly greater energy at low frequencies, while the dB/dt contains more energy at high frequencies. Having more energy at low frequencies is advantageous for detecting conductive targets as indicated by many authors (e. g., Sarma et al., 1976; McCracken et al., 1986; Eaton and Hohmann, 1987; Smith and Annan, 1998; Wolfram and Thomson, 1998). Therefore, considerable efforts have been made to obtain the B-field response from either an induction coil indirectly or a magnetometer directly (Lamontagne, 1975; West et al., 1984; Foley and Leslie, 1998; Smith and Annan, 1998; Wolfram and Thomson, 1998 and Smith and Annan, 2000). Smith and Annan (1998, 2000) and Wolfgram and Thomson (1998) present the B-field responses integrated from airborne time-domain EM dB/dt data measured using an induction coil sensor. Some experiments using a SQUID magnetometer as the sensor in ground and airborne transient EM surveys are reported by Duckworth and O’Neill (1989), Foley and Leslie (1998), Foley et al (1999), Osmond et al. (2002) and Annison (2004).

Computing the B-field from the dB/dt data by the integration requires measuring the whole waveform. If the dB/dt samples do not cover the whole waveform, a constant of integration should be determined. Otherwise, a dc shift in the integrated B-field response occurs. Levy (1984) described a technique for integrating the B-field response from dB/dt data. However, the constant of integration can not be determined because the sensor used to acquire the data is incapable of measuring the whole waveform. Since many time-domain EM systems are incapable of measuring the whole waveform, some approaches for determining the constant of integration have been attempted. An algorithm described by Eaton and Hohmann (1987) iteratively determines the dc shift by assuming a power law or an exponential for the late-time decay. Another approach that may eliminate the dc shift is to deconvolve dB/dt data to step response (Holladay, 1981; Wolfram and Karlik, 1995 and Stolz and Macnac, 1997). As indicated by Smith and Annan (2000), this can be an unstable process because the spectrum of the transmitter waveform does not have information at certain frequencies, and this introduces noise into the process. An approximate deconvolution method described by Eaton (1998) has been demonstrated to work well for converting airborne EM data to the step response.

Recently, a scheme has been developed to derive the B-field response from partial dB/dt data. The constant of integration can be numerically determined. The intention of this paper is to present some results from theoretical modeling and tests on real data.

Theoretical modeling

For time-domain EM systems that are capable to acquire the full waveform information in digital form, the B-field response can be obtained by integrating the dB/dt data from an induction coil sensor (Smith and Annan, 2000). For EM systems without this capability, it is also possible to compute the B-field response from the dB/dt data and determine the constant of integration using a digital integration technique.

Let’s consider a bipolar triangle waveform of transmitting current as shown in Figure 1a, where \( \tau \) is the width of the current pulse and \( T \) the period. The primary voltage measured by an induction coil is shown in Figure 1b. The power spectra on Figure 1c show difference between the two waveforms. The dB/dt spectrum peaks around the fifth harmonic, and falls off slowly as frequency increasing. There is more energy at high frequencies. The B-field has greater energy at the first and third harmonics and the response falls off rapidly with frequency, resulting less energy at high frequencies. Therefore, the B-field measurements enhance the responses of good conductors,
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and suppress responses of relatively resistive materials, for example, overburden.

First, it is assumed that data sampling covers the whole waveform. For an illustration of the results, the dB/dt response of a 10-S horizontal thin sheet has been computed. The transmitting coil is a small horizontal loop at 50 m above the sheet and the receiving coil is a vertical dipole at the center of the transmitter. Figure 2 depicts the dB/dt and B-field responses. There are two B-field response curves, one is computed theoretically (in red) and the other obtained by the integration of the dB/dt data (in blue). Both curves are virtually identical, except for the computation errors attributed to the finite precision of the numerical integration.

Then, let’s examine the case of the partial data samples. Figure 3 illustrates an example, where the dB/dt data are only available within the windows as shown in this figure. The theoretical B-field curve (in red) in Figure 2b is replotted for comparison. The integrated B-field response from the partial dB/dt data is shown in green. As we have seen, both on-time and off-time B-field responses shift up by a constant in the first half period. Once the constant is determined from the scheme, the B-field can be corrected as shown by the blue curve in Figure 3a.
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on-time window and down in the off-time window in the first half period, and the shift is larger for the on-time data than for the off-time data. After the dc shifts are corrected, the B-field response (in blue) matches the theoretical solution.

Field example

The technique has been tested on a variety of real datasets and some results from these tests will be shown below.

The data for the first example were collected using a time-domain helicopter-borne EM system (AeroTEM) operating at 150-Hz base frequency (Huang and Rudd, 2006). The transmitting waveform is a bipolar triangular pulse as shown in Figure 1a. The vertical and horizontal components of the dB/dt data are measured using induction coils during the transmitter on time and off time. However, the on-time data are sampled only for the half transmitter on time. Figure 4 shows the vertical components of the on-time and off-time dB/dt data from a flight line. From the on-time dB/dt data, we see a distinctive anomaly at 14,000, and two small anomalies at 2200 and 23,500. The large anomaly is clearly seen on the off-time dB/dt profile, but the others are hardly identified. Also, larger responses on the early off-time channels seem to be caused by the near surface materials.

Figure 4: The vertical components of the on-time (a) and off-time (b) dB/dt data measured using an AeroTEM system operating at 150-Hz base frequency. The warm color stands for the earlier time channel and the cool color for the later.

Figure 5 is the B-field data derived from the dB/dt data in Figure 4. The dc shifts are not corrected. The three anomalies become more evident, especially on the off-time profile. Because of the dc shifts, the two anomalies at 2200 and 14,000 are distorted on the late off-time channels. It is obvious that this problem cannot be fixed by any filtering or leveling techniques since the dc shifts vary from data point to data point. The dc shifts are simultaneously determined during the process and can be subtracted from the integrated B-field responses. Figure 6 shows the B-field data after the dc corrections. Comparing the B-field responses with the dB/dt data in Figure 4, the three anomalies are significantly enhanced on both on-time and off-time profiles and the large responses of the near surface materials are drastically suppressed.

Figure 5: The B-field data derived from the dB/dt data shown on Figure 4, but without correcting the dc shifts. (a) On time and (b) off time.

Figure 6: The B-field data after the dc shift correction. (a) On time and (b) off time.
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Figure 7 illustrates profiles of on-time and off-time dB/dt and B-field responses from another AeroTEM survey. As we can see, it is much easier to locate, discriminate and interpret the anomalies from the B-field responses than from the dB/dt data.

![Figure 7](image1)

Figure 7: The on-time (a) and off-time (b) dB/dt responses. The on-time (c) and off-time (d) B-field responses integrated from the dB/dt data.

Conclusions

An approach for computing the B-field from the dB/dt data measured by an induction coil has been developed. When the dB/dt data do not cover the full waveform, the constant of integration can be readily determined from the scheme, which does not require making any assumptions. This process can be done either in an EM receiver in real time or from the dB/dt data that were already acquired. As shown in the examples, the B-field response integrated from the dB/dt data considerably enhances the responses to good conductors and suppresses the response of the near surface materials. This makes identification and interpretation of good conductors easier. In practice, using B or dB/dt depends upon the purpose of a survey. If the target is good conductors, such as massive sulphide deposits, the B-field response will be definitely superior to the dB/dt data. However, the dB/dt response will be better than the B-field if surveys are for geological mapping, determining depth to bedrock, groundwater exploration, etc., where the targets are resistive.

![Figure 8](image2)

Figure 8: Maps of the dB/dt data (a) and the B-field (b) response derived from the dB/dt data.
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